

SUSAN M. BALDWIN
Record of Prior Testimony
sembaldwin@comcast.net
sembaldwinconsulting.com

In the matter of the Application of the New Jersey Bell Telephone Company for Approval of its Plan for an Alternative Form of Regulation, New Jersey Board of Regulatory Commissioners Docket No. T092030358, on behalf of the New Jersey Cable Television Association, filed September 21, 1992, cross-examined October 2, 1992.

DPUC review and management audit of construction programs of Connecticut's telecommunications local exchange carriers, Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control Docket No. 91-10-06, on behalf of the Connecticut Office of the Consumer Counsel, filed October 30, 1992, cross-examined November 4, 1992.

Joint petition of New England Telephone and Telegraph Company and Department of Public Service seeking a second extension of the Vermont Telecommunications Agreement, Vermont Public Service Board 5614, Public Contract Advocate, filed December 15, 1992, cross-examined December 21, 1992.

Application of the Southern New England Telephone Company to amend its rates and rate structure, Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control Docket No. 92-09-19, on behalf of the Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel, filed March 26, 1993 and May 19, 1993, cross-examined May 25, 1993.

In the matter of the Application of Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company for Approval of an Alternative Form of Regulation and for a Threshold Increase in Rates, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 93-432-TP-ALT, on behalf of Time Warner AxS, filed March 2, 1994.

Matters relating to IntraLATA Toll Competition and Access Rate Structure, Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket 1995, on behalf of the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Staff, filed March 28, 1994 and June 9, 1994, cross-examined August 1, 1994.

In the Matter of the Application of The Ohio Bell Telephone Company for Approval of an Alternative Form of Regulation, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 93-487-TP-ALT, on behalf of Time Warner AxS, filed May 5, 1994, cross-examined August 11, 1994.

In Re: Universal Service Proceeding: The Cost of Universal Service and Current Sources of Universal Service Support, Tennessee Public Service Commission Docket No. 95-02499, on behalf of Time Warner AxS of Tennessee, L.P., filed October 18, 1995 and October 25, 1995, cross-examined October 27, 1995.

In Re: Universal Service Proceeding: Alternative Universal Service Support Mechanisms, Tennessee Public Service Commission Docket No. 95-02499, on behalf of Time Warner AxS of Tennessee, L.P., filed October 30, 1995 and November 3, 1995, cross-examined November 7, 1995.

In the Matter of the Application of US West Communications, Inc. for Authority to Increase its Rates and Charge for Regulated Title 61 Services, Idaho Public Utilities Commission Case No. USW-S-96-5, on behalf of the Staff of the Idaho Public Utilities Commission, filed November 26, 1996 and February 25, 1997, cross-examined March 19, 1997.

A Petition by the Regulatory Operations Staff to Open an Investigation into the Procedures and Methodologies that Should Be Used to Develop Costs for Bundled or Unbundled Telephone Services or Service Elements in the State of Nevada, Nevada Public Service Commission Docket No. 96-9035, on behalf of AT&T Communications of Nevada, Inc., filed May 23, 1997, cross-examined June 6, 1997.

Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Govern Open Access to Bottleneck Services and

Establish a Framework for Network Architecture; Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into Open Access and Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks, California Public Utilities Commission R.93-04-003 and I.93-04-002, co-authored a declaration on behalf of AT&T Communications of California, Inc., and MCI Telecommunications Corporation, filed on December 15, 1997 and on February 11, 1998.

Consolidated Petitions for Arbitration of Interconnection Agreements, Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Energy, DPU 96-73/74, 96-75, 96-80/81, 96-83, and 96-84, on behalf of AT&T Communications of New England, Inc. and MCI Telecommunications Corporation, filed February 3, 1998.

In the Matter of the Application of US West Communications, Inc. for Specific Forms of Price Regulation, Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 97-A-540T, on behalf of the Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel, filed on April 16, 1998, May 14, 1998 and May 27, 1998, cross-examined June 2, 1998.

Joint Application of SBC Communications and Southern New England Telecommunications Corporation for Approval of a Change of Control, Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control Docket No. 98-02-20, on behalf of the Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel, filed May 7, 1998 and June 12, 1998, cross-examined June 15-16, 1998.

Fourth Annual Price Cap Filing of Bell Atlantic-Massachusetts, Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Energy Docket DTE 98-67, on behalf of MCI Telecommunications Corporation, filed September 11, 1998 and September 25, 1998, cross-examined October 22, 1998.

Applications of Ameritech Corp., Transferor, and SBC Communications, Inc., Transferee, For Consent to Transfer Control, Federal Communications Commission CC Docket No. 98-141, co-sponsored affidavit on behalf of Indiana Utility Consumer Counselor, Michigan Attorney General, Missouri Public Counsel, Ohio Consumers' Counsel, Texas Public Utility Counsel and Utility Reform Network, filed on October 13, 1998.

In the Matter of the Joint Application of SBC Communications Inc., SBC Delaware, Inc., Ameritech Corporation and Ameritech Ohio for Consent and Approval of a Change of Control, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No.98-1082-TP-AMT, on behalf of Ohio Consumers' Counsel, filed on December 10, 1998, cross-examined on January 22, 1999.

GTE Corporation, Transferor, and Bell Atlantic Corporation, Transferee, For Consent to Transfer Control, Federal Communications Commission CC Docket No. 98-184, co-sponsored an affidavit on behalf of a coalition of consumer advocates from Delaware, Hawaii, Maine, Maryland, Missouri, Ohio, Oregon, West Virginia, and Michigan, filed on December 18, 1998.

In the Matter of the Joint Application of GTE and Bell Atlantic to Transfer Control of GTE's California Utility Subsidiaries to Bell Atlantic, Which Will Occur Indirectly as a Result of GTE's Merger with Bell Atlantic, California Public Utilities Commission A. 98-12-005, on behalf of the California Office of Ratepayer Advocate, filed on June 7, 1999.

In the Matter of the Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion Into All Matters Relating to the Merger of Ameritech Corporation and SBC Communications Inc., Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 41255, on behalf of the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor, filed on June 22, 1999 and July 12, 1999, cross-examined July 20, 1999.

In re Application of Bell Atlantic Corporation and GTE Corporation for Approval of the GTE Corporation - Bell Atlantic Corporation Merger, Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission UT-981367, on behalf of the Washington Attorney General Public Counsel Section, filed on August 2, 1999.

Application of New York Telephone Company for Alternative Rate Regulation, Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control Docket No. 99-03-06, on behalf of the Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel, filed October 22, 1999.

In re: Area Code 515 Relief Plan, Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. SPU-99-22, on behalf of Iowa Office of Consumer Advocate, filed November 8, 1999, and December 3, 1999, cross-examined December 14, 1999.

In re Application of MCI WorldCom, Inc. and Central Telephone Company - Nevada, d/b/a Sprint of Nevada, and other Sprint entities for Approval of Transfer of Control pursuant to NRS 704.329, Nevada Public Utilities Commission Application No. 99-12029, on behalf of the Nevada Office of the Attorney General, Bureau of Consumer Protection, filed April 20, 2000.

In re: Area Code 319 Relief Plan, Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. SPU-99-30, on behalf of Iowa Office of Consumer Advocate, filed June 26, 2000 and July 24, 2000.

In re: Sprint Communications Company, L.P. & Level 3 Communications, L.L.C., Iowa Utilities Board Docket Nos. SPU-02-11 & SPU-02-13, on behalf of Iowa Office of Consumer Advocate, filed October 14, 2002 and January 6, 2003, cross-examined February 5, 2003.

Illinois Bell Telephone Company filing to increase unbundled loop and nonrecurring rates (tariffs filed December 24, 2002), Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 02-0864, on behalf of Citizens Utility Board, filed May 6, 2003 and February 20, 2004.

Qwest Petition for Competitive Classification of Business Services, Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket No. 030614, on behalf of Public Counsel, filed August 13, 2003 and August 29, 2003, cross-examined September 18, 2003.

In the Matter of the Application of CenturyTel of Northwest Arkansas, LLC for Approval of a General Change in Rates and Tariffs, Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 03-041-U, on behalf of the Attorney General, filed October 9, 2003 and November 20, 2003.

In the Matter of the Board's Review of Unbundled Network Elements, Rates, Terms and Conditions of Bell Atlantic New Jersey, Inc., New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. TO00060356, on behalf of the New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate, filed January 23, 2004.

In the Matter of the Implementation of the Federal Communications Commission's Triennial Review Order, New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. TO03090705, on behalf of the New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate, filed February 2, 2004.

Unbundled Access to Network Elements, Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Local Exchange Carriers, Federal Communications Commission WC Docket No. 04-313, CC Docket No. 01-338, sponsored affidavit on behalf of the New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate, filed October 4, 2004.

Unbundled Access to Network Elements, Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Local Exchange Carriers, Federal Communications Commission WC Docket No. 04-313, CC Docket No. 01-338, sponsored affidavit on behalf of the Utah Committee of Consumer Services, filed October 4, 2004.

In the Matter of Verizon New Jersey, Inc. For a Revision of Tariff B.P.U.-N.J. – No. 2 Providing for a Revenue Neutral Rate Restructure Including a Restructure of Residence and Business Basic Exchange Service and Elimination of \$.65 Credit, New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. TT04060442, on behalf of the New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate, filed December 22, 2004 and January 18, 2005.

In the Matter of the Application of Verizon New Jersey, Inc. for Approval (I) of a New Plan for an Alternative Form of Regulation and (II) to Reclassify Multi-Line Rate Regulated Business Services as

Competitive Services, and Compliance Filing, New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. TO01020095, on behalf of the New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate, filed January 10, 2005 and February 4, 2005.

Joint Petition of SBC Communications Inc. and AT&T Corp., Together with its Certificated Subsidiaries for Approval of Merger, New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. TM05020168, on behalf of the New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate, filed May 4, 2005 and June 1, 2005.

In the Matter of Verizon Communications Inc. and MCI, Inc., Applications for Approval of Transfer of Control, Federal Communications Commission WC Docket No. 05-75, co-sponsored affidavit on behalf of the New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate, filed on May 9, 2005.

In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a SBC Arkansas to Set Rates for Unbundled Network Elements, Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 04-109-U, on behalf of the Attorney General, filed May 27, 2005.

Joint Petition of Verizon Communications Inc. and MCI, Inc. for Approval of Merger, New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. TM05030189, on behalf of the New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate, filed July 8, 2005 and August 19, 2005.

In the Matter of Joint Petition of United Telephone Company of New Jersey, Inc. d/b/a Sprint and LTD Holding Company for Approval Pursuant to *N.J.S.A. 48:2-51* and *N.J.S.A. 48:3-10* of a change in Ownership and Control, New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. TM05080739, on behalf of the New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate, filed November 29, 2005.

In the Matter of the Board's Review of the Classification of Verizon New Jersey's Directory Assistance Services ("DAS") as Competitive and Associated Service Quality, Docket No. TX06010057, In the Matter of the Filing by Verizon New Jersey Inc. for the Reclassification of Existing Rate Regulated Services – Directory Assistance Services as Competitive, New Jersey Board of Public Utilities, Docket No. TT97120889, on behalf of the New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate, filed May 12, 2006.

In the Matter of AT&T Inc. and BellSouth Corporation Applications for Approval of Transfer of Control, Federal Communications Commission WC Docket No. 06-74, sponsored declaration with Sarah M. Bosley on behalf of the New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate, filed June 5, 2006; sponsored declaration with Sarah M. Bosley and Timothy E. Howington on behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel, October 3, 2006.

In the Matter of Jurisdictional Separations and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board, CC Docket No. 80-286, sponsored affidavit on behalf of the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates and the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel, filed August 22, 2006.

In the Matter of the Board Investigation Regarding the Reclassification of Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC) Services as Competitive, New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. TX06120841, on behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel, filed January 7, 2007, January 30, 2007, and February 20, 2007.

Verizon New England Inc., Bell Atlantic Communications, Inc., NYNEX Long Distance Company, Verizon Select Services Inc. and FairPoint Communications, Inc. Joint Petition for Authority to Transfer Assets and Franchise to FairPoint Communications, Inc., New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission Docket No. DT-07-011, on behalf of the Office of Consumer Advocate, filed August 1, 2007, cross-examined November 1, 2007.

In the Matter of the Commission's Investigation into Verizon Maryland, Inc.'s Affiliate Relationships, Maryland Public Service Commission Case No. 9120, on behalf of the Office of People's Counsel, filed October 29, 2007 and November 19, 2007, cross-examined November 28, 2007.

In the Matter of the Board Investigation Regarding the Reclassification of Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier (ILEC) Services as Competitive, New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. TX07110873, on behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel, filed December 14, 2007, January 10, 2008.

In the Matter of Verizon Washington, DC Inc.'s Price Cap Plan 2007 for the Provision of Local Telecommunications Services in the District of Columbia, Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia Formal Case No. 1057, on behalf of the District of Columbia Office of People's Counsel, filed December 20, 2007, January 31, 2008.

In re Possible Extension of Board Jurisdiction over Single Line Flat-Rated Residential and Business Rates for Local Exchange Carriers, Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. INU-08-1, on behalf of Iowa Office of Consumer Advocate, filed March 17, 2008, April 28, 2008, cross-examined May 22, 2008.

Petition of the Office of Consumer Counsel for Enforcement of Quality of Service Standards for the Southern New England Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Connecticut, Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control Docket No. 08-07-15, on behalf of the Communications Workers of America, Local 1298, filed January 30, 2009, cross-examined February 25, 2009.

In the Matter of the Board's Investigation and Review of Local Exchange Carrier Intrastate Exchange Access Rates, New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. TX08090830, on behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel, filed February 13, 2009, April 20, 2009, and June 22, 2009, cross-examined October 20, 2009.

In the Matter of Appropriate Forms Of Regulating Telephone Companies, Maryland Public Service Commission, Case No. 9133, on behalf of the Communications Workers of America, filed June 1, 2009, October 16, 2009, October 30, 2009, cross-examined November 4, 2009.

Petition of the Office of Consumer Counsel for Enforcement of Quality of Service Standards for the Southern New England Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Connecticut, Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control Docket No. 08-07-15PH02, on behalf of the Communications Workers of America, Local 1298, filed September 21, 2009.

In the Matter of the Application of Frontier Communications Corporation, New Communications Holdings, Inc. and Verizon Communications Inc. for Consent and Approval of a Change in Control, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 09-454-TP-ACO, on behalf of the Communications Workers of America and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 986, filed October 14, 2009.

Frontier Communications Corporation, Verizon Communications, Inc., Verizon North Inc., Verizon South Inc., New Communications of the Carolinas, Inc. Joint Application for the approval of a Reorganization, Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 09-0268, on behalf of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Locals 21, 51, and 702, filed October 20, 2009.

In re Verizon Service Quality in Western Massachusetts, Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Cable D.T.C. 09-1, on behalf of the Office of the Attorney General, filed November 9, 2009, February 24, 2010, cross-examined March 31, 2010, April 1, 2010, May 21, 2010.

Joint Application of Frontier Communications Corporation and Verizon West Virginia Inc. and certain affiliates for approval of the transfer of Verizon's local exchange and long distance business in West Virginia to companies to be owned and controlled by Frontier Communications Corporation, Public Service Commission of West Virginia Case No. 09-0871-T-PC, on behalf of the Communications Workers of America, AFL-CIO, filed November 16, 2009.

In the Matter of Qwest Communications Company and CenturyTel, Inc. for Approval of Control of Qwest Communications Company LLC, New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. TM10050343, on behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel, filed September 23, 2010.

Petition of the North American Numbering Plan Administrator on behalf of the Pennsylvania Telecommunications Industry for Approval of Numbering Plan Area Relief Planning for the 814 NPA, Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. P-2009-2112925, on behalf of the Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate, filed May 23, 2011, cross-examined May 24, 2011.

In re Applications of AT&T, Inc. and Deutsche Telekom AG for Consent to the Transfer of Control of the Licenses and Authorizations Held by T-Mobile USA, Inc. and its Subsidiaries to AT&T Inc., WT Docket No. 11-65, File Nos. 0004669383, *et al.*, sponsored declarations on behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel, May 31, 2011, and June 20, 2011.

In the Matter of Application of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and SpectrumCo LLC For Consent To Assign Licenses and Application of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and Cox TMI Wireless, LLC For Consent To Assign Licenses, WT Docket No. 12-4, sponsored declarations on behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel, filed February 17, 2012, and March 26, 2012.

In the Matter of the Board's Investigation Regarding the Reclassification of Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier (ILEC) Services as Competitive – Phase II, New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. TX11090570, on behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel, filed February 24, 2012, April 27, 2012, and June 11, 2012, cross-examined July 17, 2012.

Petition of David K. Ebersole, Jr. and the Office of Consumer Advocate for a Declaratory Order that Verizon Pennsylvania Inc. Has Not Met Its Legal Obligation to the Greensburg Bona Fide Retail Request Group Pursuant to Its Chapter 30 Plan, Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. P-2012-2323362, affidavit on behalf of the Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate, September 6, 2012.

In the Matter of Commission Consideration Of Effective Competition Areas and the Classification of Basic Local Exchange Service, Colorado Public Utilities Commission Proceeding Number 13M-0422T, Pursuant to 4 CCR 723-2-2213, answer testimony on behalf of AARP, December 6, 2013, cross-examined January 7, 2014.

PURA Establishment of Rules for Electric Suppliers and EDCs Concerning Operations and Marketing in the Electric Retail Market, Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority Docket No. 13-07-18, testimony and supplemental testimony on behalf of the Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel, March 10, 2014 and March 17, 2014, cross-examined March 27, 2014.

Joint Application of Frontier Communications Corporation and AT&T Inc. for Approval of a Change in Control, Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority Docket No. 14-01-46, testimony on behalf of the Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel, May 23, 2014.

Testimony before State Legislatures:

Testified on September 24, 1997, before the Massachusetts State Legislature Joint Committee on Government Regulations regarding House Bill 4937 (concerning area codes).

Testified on March 2, 2010, before the Maryland State Legislature Senate Finance Committee regarding Senate Bill 677 (concerning Telephone Landline Sale Bill).

Testified on March 11, 2010, before the Maryland State Legislature House Economic Matters Committee regarding House Bill 937 (concerning Telephone Landline Sale Bill).

Testified on June 25, 2013, on behalf of AARP, before the Ohio Select Committee on Telecommunications Regulatory Reform (regarding SB 162).

Testified on December 12, 2013, on behalf of AARP, before the Pennsylvania House Consumer Affairs Committee (regarding House Bill 1608).